SEARCH FOR CORE-COLLAPSE SUPERNOVAE IN INITIAL LIGO AND VIRGO DATA

A Core-Collapse Supernova (CCSN) marks the violent death of a massive star. They are one of the most spectacular events in our Universe. When a star explodes relatively close, e.g. in our own Milky Way galaxy, then its light can be visible even without a telescope and a "new" star appears in the sky. Unfortunately CCSN events are pretty rare, with approximately 2-3 occurring per century in our Galaxy. These explosions are extremely interesting, however, because all elements heavier than iron are produced in a CCSN, all four fundamental forces play a role, and the full equations of Albert Einstein’s theory of General Relativity have to be considered (although the numerical modeling is very hard and computationally expensive).

Supernovae can be observed with optical telescopes and their light gives us a lot of information about the progenitor of the explosion. However the information that we obtain from electromagnetic radiation (not just optical light, but across the entire electromagnetic spectrum) does not explain the ignition of the explosion, the so called Core-Collapse, which happens in the center of the dying star. This is a very short, violent and important phase lasting only milliseconds. In particular it is not clear how the shock wave produced can plough through the outer layers of the dying star and not lose all of its momentum.

Unluckily, the light from star’s center cannot plough through the surrounding material, so from electromagnetic observations of the CCSN alone all information about the collapsing core is lost. With Gravitational Waves (GW), on the other hand, we can obtain a good impression of the dynamics of the collapsing core. GWs can escape from the collapsing core, without being scattered or absorbed by the outer layers of the star, and could in principle be measured by the LIGO and Virgo GW detectors.

In our search we are analyzing interferometer data collected during four relatively close (within a distance of about 11 megaparsecs) supernova events which happened while Initial LIGO and Virgo were performing observations. Based on the electromagnetic spectrum of each supernova, the time interval of interest for searching for GWs was calculated, varying from one day to nearly two weeks. After comparing those time intervals with the GW data, only two of the CCSN events appeared to be good for further analysis. The other two had either not enough data or poor quality data during the relevant times.

In order to test the sensitivity of the GW data, and the algorithms we use for analysing the data, we injected into the data three families of artificial GW waveforms. The first set is based on fully numerical simulations of CCSN events. These signals are dominated by stochastic (i.e. random) processes, and are challenging to detect, when the CCSN is outside our galaxy.
The second set of waveforms is calculated from semi-analytical calculations for models of extreme CCSN emission. In those models the GW signals have known shape and therefore are easier to detect. The last set of waveforms is created from so called "sine-gaussians". The purpose of these signals is only to probe a specific combinations of signal frequency and time interval in relation to the CCSN - which we refer to as a specific region of the time frequency parameter space, but they can be used to constrain how much GW energy is emitted in those regions by supernovae.

After the whole analysis was performed no GW were found, but we did calculate upper limits on the amount of GW energy that could have been emitted by the two supernovae. This in turn allowed us to calculate the probability with which we could exclude specific models for the emission of GWs from the supernovae. Unfortunately, in this search we cannot yet constrain or exclude any of the CCSN models considered. However, we were able to assess the future prospects for excluding these models using the upgraded Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo detectors.

GLOSSARY

Core-Collapse Supernova: As a star goes through evolution it burns its fuel by creating heavy elements, up to iron. Iron as the heaviest goes into the center of the star creating an iron core. When the mass of that core exceeds 1.5 solar mass then it collapses under itself creating proton neutron star. The matter inside star starts to fall into the collapsed core heating up its surface and creating a shock propagating outward the star. That shock may eventually break star's envelope leading to supernovae explosion.

Gravitational Wave data analysis: Gravitational Waves are extremely weak by the time they reach us, so that specialized and highly sophisticated software is required to "dig out" their signal from noisy data. It is very hard to detect a GW signal using only one detector, since there are many local effects that could mimic - or indeed completely swamp - the signal in our observed data. Consequently we require to analyse GW data from at least two different detectors. There are two types of analysis that we can carry out. We can analyse real data from the GW detectors and assess how likely it is that the strongest candidate GW signals in these data could have been caused simply by background noise. Alternatively we can inject simulated GW signals into the detector data and thus determine how efficiently and successfully our analysis algorithms can find these simulated signals.

Constraining CCSN models and Model Exclusion: Since we do not know how to simulate accurately CCSN signals, several different CCSN models and approaches are under consideration. By analysing GW data that was collected at the same time as an observed supernova we can place limits on certain parameters, i.e. the numbers that characterise the details of the CCSN models, e.g. the amount of emitted GW energy. We can also make statements that might allow us to exclude some models (e.g. extreme emission models) when GWs are not detected by reasoning that if these models were a correct description of a CCSN event then a GW signal should have been detected.
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